

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

PATRICIA STANTON, )  
Plaintiff, ) Case No.  
v. )  
AMCOL SYSTEMS, INC., )  
Defendant. )  
)

Now comes the Plaintiff, PATRICIA STANTON, by and through his attorneys, and for his Complaint, against the Defendant, AMCOL SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff alleges and states as follows:

## **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is an action for damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* and 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”).

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1367, and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d). This jurisdiction includes supplemental jurisdiction with respect to pendent state law claims.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff is an individual who was at all relevant times residing in Oak Park, Michigan.

5. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), as she is a natural person allegedly obligated to pay a debt.

6. At all relevant times, Defendant acted as a “debt collector” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), in that it held itself out to be a company collecting a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff.

7. The aforementioned alleged debt is a “debt” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), in that it is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance and/or services which are the subject of the transaction were primarily for personal, family and/or household purposes.

8. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation of the State of South Carolina, which is licensed to do business in Michigan, and which has its principal place of business in Columbia, South Carolina.

## **FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS**

9. On July 8, 2011, Plaintiff filed a voluntary Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, case number 11-58747-tjt. Plaintiff received his Chapter 7 discharge from the Bankruptcy Court on October 18, 2011.

10. In her bankruptcy petition, Plaintiff listed a debt allegedly owed to Providence Hospital.

11. Despite Plaintiff's bankruptcy discharge, Defendant is still reporting two allegedly unpaid accounts to at least one credit reporting bureau, claiming that Plaintiff owes an alleged debt or debts. Thus, Plaintiff's credit report indicates that she owes alleged debts which were discharged in her bankruptcy case.

12. Defendant has reported the two allegedly unpaid accounts on Plaintiff's TransUnion credit report as "Placed for Collection."

13. In its attempts to collect the alleged debt as outlined above, Defendant damaged Plaintiff and violated the FDCPA.

14. As a result of Defendant's actions as outlined above, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, embarrassment, stress, aggravation, emotional distress, mental anguish and damage to his reputation for creditworthiness.

### **COUNT I**

15. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.

16. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and/or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's actual damages suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);

- b. Judgment against Defendant for \$1,000 in statutory damages for Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

## **COUNT II**

17. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.

18. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) by communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which was known or which should have been known to be false, including failing to communicate that the alleged debt was disputed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's actual damages suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Judgment against Defendant for \$1,000 in statutory damages for Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

### **COUNT III**

19. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.

20. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by using a false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt and/or to obtain information about Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's actual damages suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Judgment against Defendant for \$1,000 in statutory damages for Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

### **COUNT IV**

21. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.

22. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using an unfair or unconscionable means to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's actual damages suffered as a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Judgment against Defendant for \$1,000 in statutory damages for Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues in this action, except for any issues relating to the amount of attorneys' fees and litigation costs to be awarded should Plaintiff prevail on any of her FDCPA claims in this action.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

PATRICIA STANTON

By: /s/ Morris B. Lefkowitz

Morris B. Lefkowitz  
Michigan Attorney No. P31335  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Lefkowitz Law Group  
24100 Southfield Road  
Suite 203  
Southfield, MI 48075  
Phone: (248) 569-0180  
Fax: (248) 559-0175  
morrie@lefkowitzlawgroup.com